## Carroll, Rhonda

From:

Wootten, Mark <mark.wootten@ashcroft.com>

Sent:

Thursday, March 10, 2016 8:34 PM

To:

JudTestimony

Subject:

**BILLS BEING HEARD** 

**Oppose** H.B. No. 5054 'AN ACT PROTECTING VICTIMS OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE' To seize Firearms, Ammunition, and Permits on all ex parte restraining orders.

Neutral H.B. No. 5597 'AN-ACT PROTECTING DOMESTIC VIOLENCE VICTIMS SEEKING RESTRAINING ORDERS'

To protect domestic violence victims through use of the risk warrant process set forth in section 29-38c of the general statutes.

\*CCDL acknowledges this bill is an improvement over HB5054. However, the underlying statute that THIS act would trigger (29-38c) itself does not provide for adequate due process.

**Oppose** H.B. No. 5622 'AN ACT CONCERNING THE AVAILABILITY OF ERASED RECORDS IN DETERMINING WHETHER A PERSON IS A SUITABLE PERSON TO CARRY A PISTOL OR REVOLVER'

To allow chiefs of police, selectmen, wardens and the Board of Firearms Permit Examiners access to erased records for the purpose of determining a person's suitability to carry a pistol or revolver.

**Oppose** S.B. No. 429 'AN ACT CONCERNING SERVICE OF RESTRAINING ORDERS' To provide an applicant for a restraining order with the option of selecting either a police officer or a proper officer to serve such order.

**Oppose** S.B. No. 442 'AN ACT CONCERNING A VICTIM'S RIGHT TO BE REASONABLY PROTECTED FROM A PERSON ACCUSED OF COMMITTING A CRIME' To afford greater protections to crime victims.

Oppose  $\underline{H.B.}$  No. 5623 'AN ACT CONCERNING VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN AND VICTIMS OF HUMAN TRAFFICKING'

To increase protections for victims of domestic violence, human trafficking and sexual assault.

I oppose these changes due to that it would be able to infringe on due process. Thank you Mark A Wootten 20 Morse ST Meriden C.T. 06450